

Hydrocarbon Recovery from Impermeable Oil Shales

[0001] This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/516,779 filed on November 3, 2003.

5

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0002] This invention relates generally to the *in situ* generation and recovery of hydrocarbon oil and gas from subsurface immobile sources contained in largely impermeable geological formations such as oil shale. Specifically, the invention is a comprehensive method of economically producing such reserves long considered
10 uneconomic.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0003] Oil shale is a low permeability rock that contains organic matter primarily in the form of kerogen, a geologic predecessor to oil and gas. Enormous amounts of oil shale are known to exist throughout the world. Particularly rich and widespread
15 deposits exist in the Colorado area of the United States. A good review of this resource and the attempts to unlock it is given in *Oil Shale Technical Handbook*, P. Nowacki (ed.), Noyes Data Corp. (1981) . Attempts to produce oil shale have primarily focused on mining and surface retorting. Mining and surface retorts however require complex facilities and are labor intensive. Moreover, these
20 approaches are burdened with high costs to deal with spent shale in an environmentally acceptable manner. As a result, these methods never proved competitive with open-market oil despite much effort in the 1960's-80's.

[0004] To overcome the limitations of mining and surface retort methods, a number of *in situ* methods have been proposed. These methods involve the injection
25 of heat and/or solvent into a subsurface oil shale, in which permeability has been created if it does not occur naturally in the target zone. Heating methods include hot gas injection (e.g., flue gas, methane – see US Patent No. 3,241,611 to J. L. Dougan --

or superheated steam), electric resistive heating, dielectric heating, or oxidant injection to support *in situ* combustion (see US Patents No. 3,400,762 to D. W. Peacock et al. and No. 3,468,376 to M. L. Slusser et al.). Permeability generation methods include mining, rubblization, hydraulic fracturing (see US Patent No. 5 3,513,914 to J. V. Vogel), explosive fracturing (US Patent No. 1,422,204 to W. W. Hoover et al.), heat fracturing (US Patent No. 3,284,281 to R. W. Thomas), steam fracturing (US Patent No. 2,952,450 to H. Purre), and/or multiple wellbores. These and other previously proposed *in situ* methods have never proven economic due to insufficient heat input (e.g., hot gas injection), inefficient heat transfer (e.g., radial 10 heat transfer from wellbores), inherently high cost (e.g., electrical methods), and/or poor control over fracture and flow distribution (e.g., explosively formed fracture networks and *in situ* combustion).

[0005] Barnes and Ellington attempt to take a realistic look at the economics of *in situ* retorting of oil shale in the scenario in which hot gas is injected into constructed 15 vertical fractures. (*Quarterly of the Colorado School of Mines* 63, 83-108 (Oct., 1968). They believe the limiting factor is heat transfer to the formation, and more specifically the area of the contact surfaces through which the heat is transferred. They conclude that an arrangement of parallel vertical fractures is uneconomic, even though superior to horizontal fractures or radial heating from well bores.

20 [0006] Previously proposed *in situ* methods have almost exclusively focused on shallow resources, where any constructed fractures will be horizontal because of the small downward pressure exerted by the thin overburden layer. Liquid or dense gas heating mediums are largely ruled out for shallow resources since at reasonably fast pyrolysis temperatures ($>270^{\circ}\text{C}$) the necessary pressures to have a liquid or dense 25 gas are above the fracture pressures. Injection of any vapor which behaves nearly as an ideal gas is a poor heating medium. For an ideal gas, increasing temperature proportionately decreases density so that the total heat per unit volume injected remains essentially unchanged. However, U.S. Patent No. 3,515,213 to M. Prats, and the Barnes and Ellington paper consider constructing vertical fractures, which implies 30 deep reserves. Neither of these references, however, teaches the desirability of

maximizing the volumetric heat capacity of the injected fluid as disclosed in the present invention. Prats teaches that it is preferable to use an oil-soluble fluid that is effective at extracting organic components whereas Barnes and Ellington indicate the desirability of injecting superhot (~2000° F) gases.

5 [0007] Perhaps closest to the present invention is the Prats patent, which describes in general terms an *in situ* shale oil maturation method utilizing a dual-completed vertical well to circulate steam, "volatile oil shale hydrocarbons", or predominately aromatic hydrocarbons up to 600° F (315°C) through a vertical fracture. Moreover, Prats indicates the desirability that the fluid be "pumpable" at temperatures
10 of 400-600°F. However, he describes neither operational details nor field-wide implementation details, which are key to economic and optimal practice. Indeed, Prats indicates use of such a design is less preferable than one which circulates the fluid through a permeability section of a formation between two wells.

[0008] In U.S. Patent No. 2,813,583 to J. W. Marx et al., a method is
15 described for recovering immobile hydrocarbons via circulating steam through horizontal propped fractures to heat to 400-750°F. The horizontal fractures are formed between two vertical wells. Use of nonaqueous heating is described but temperatures of 800-1000°F are indicated as necessary and thus steam or hot water is indicated as preferred. No discussion is given to the inorganic scale and formation
20 dissolution issues associated with the use of water, which can be avoided by the use of a hydrocarbon heating fluid as disclosed in the present invention.

[0009] In U.S. Patent No. 3,358,756 to J. V. Vogel, a method similar to Marx's is described for recovering immobile hydrocarbons via hot circulation through horizontal fractures between wells. Vogel recommends using hot benzene injected at
25 ~950°F and recovered at least ~650°F. Benzene however is a reasonably expensive substance which would probably need to be purchased as opposed to being extracted from the generated hydrocarbons. Thus, even low losses in separating the sales product from the benzene, i.e., low levels of benzene left in the sales product, could be

unacceptable. The means for high-quality and cost effective separation of the benzene from the produced fluids is not described.

[0010] In U.S. Patent No. 4,886,118 to Van Meurs et al., a method is described for *in situ* production of shale oil using wellbore heaters at temperatures >600°C. The patent describes how the heating and formation of oil and gas leads to generation of permeability in the originally impermeable oil shale. Unlike the present invention, wellbore heaters provide heat to only a limited surface (i.e. the surface of the well) and hence very high temperatures and tight well spacings are required to inject sufficient thermal energy into the formation for reasonably rapid maturation. The high local temperatures prevent producing oil from the heating injecting wells and hence separate sets of production-only wells are needed. The concepts of the Van Meurs patent are expanded in U.S. Patent No. 6,581,684 to S. L. Wellington et al. Neither patent advocates heating via hot fluid circulation through fractures.

[0011] Several sources discuss optimizing the *in situ* retort conditions to obtain oil and gas products with preferred compositions. An early but extensive reference is the Ph.D. Thesis of D. J. Johnson (*Decomposition Studies of Oil Shale*, University of Utah (1966)), a summary of which can be found in the journal article "Direct Production of a Low Pour Point High Gravity Shale Oil", *I&EC Product Research and Development*, 6(1), 52-59 (1967). Among other findings Johnson found that increasing pressure reduces sulfur content of the produced oil. High sulfur is a key debit to the value of oil. Similar results were later described in the literature by A. K. Burnham and M. F. Singleton ("High-Pressure Pyrolysis of Green River Oil Shale" in *Geochemistry and Chemistry of Oil Shales: ACS Symposium Series* (1983)). Most recently, U.S. 6,581,684 to S. L. Wellington et al. gives correlations for oil quality as a function of temperature and pressure. These correlations suggest modest dependence on pressure at low pressures (<~300 psia) but much less dependence at higher pressures. Thus, at the higher pressures preferred for the present invention, pressure control essentially has no impact on sulfur percentage, according to Wellington. Wellington primarily contemplates borehole heating of the shale.

[0012] Production of oil and gas from kerogen-containing rocks such as oil shales presents three problems. First, the kerogen must be converted to oil and gas that can flow. Conversion is accomplished by supplying sufficient heat to cause pyrolysis to occur within a reasonable time over a sizeable region. Second, permeability must be created in the kerogen-containing rocks, which may have very low permeability. And third, the spent rock must not pose an undue environmental or economic burden. The present invention provides a method that economically addresses all of these issues.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0013] In one embodiment, the invention is an *in situ* method for maturing and producing oil and gas from a deep-lying, impermeable formation containing immobile hydrocarbons such as oil shale, which comprises the steps of (a) fracturing a region of the deep formation, creating a plurality of substantially vertical, parallel, propped fractures, (b) injecting under pressure a heated fluid into one part of each vertical fracture and recovering the injected fluid from a different part of each fracture for reheating and recirculation, (c) recovering, commingled with the injected fluid, oil and gas matured due to the heating of the deposit, the heating also causing increased permeability of the hydrocarbon deposit sufficient to allow the produced oil and gas to flow into the fractures, and (d) separating the oil and gas from the injected fluid. Additionally, many efficiency-enhancing features compatible with the above-described basic process are disclosed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0014] The present invention and its advantages will be better understood by referring to the following detailed description and the attached drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a flow chart showing the primary steps of the present inventive method;

Figure 2 illustrates vertical fractures created from vertical wells;

Figure 3 illustrates a top view of one possible arrangement of vertical fractures associated with vertical wells;

Figure 4 illustrates dual completion of a vertical well into two intersecting penny fractures;

5 Figure 5A illustrates a use of horizontal wells in conjunction with vertical fractures;

Figure 5B illustrates a top view of how the configuration of Figure 5A is robust to *en echelon* fractures;

10 Figure 6 illustrates horizontal injection, production and fracture wells intersecting parallel vertical fractures perpendicularly;

Figure 7 illustrates coalescence of two smaller vertical fractures to create a flow path between two horizontal wells;

15 Figure 8 illustrates the use of multiple completions in a dual pipe horizontal well traversing a long vertical fracture, thereby permitting short flow paths for the heated fluid;

Figure 9 shows a modeled conversion as a function of time for a typical oil shale zone between two fractures 25 m apart held at 315° C; and

Figure 10 shows the estimated warmup along the length of the fracture for different heating times.

20 [0015] The invention will be described in connection with its preferred embodiments. However, to the extent that the following detailed description is specific to a particular embodiment or a particular use of the invention, this is intended to be illustrative only, and is not to be construed as limiting the scope of the invention. On the contrary, it is intended to cover all alternatives, modifications and
25 equivalents that may be included within the spirit and scope of the invention, as defined by the appended claims.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0016] The present invention is an *in situ* method for generating and recovering oil and gas from a deep-lying, impermeable formation containing immobile hydrocarbons such as, but not limited to, oil shale. The formation is initially evaluated and determined to be essentially impermeable so as to prevent loss of heating fluid to the formation and to protect against possible contamination of neighboring aquifers. The invention involves the *in situ* maturation of oil shales or other immobile hydrocarbon sources using the injection of hot (approximate temperature range upon entry into the fractures of 260-370°C in some embodiments of the present invention) liquids or vapors circulated through tightly spaced (10-60 m, more or less) parallel propped vertical fractures. The injected heating fluid in some embodiments of the invention is primarily supercritical "naphtha" obtained as a separator/distillate cut from the production. Typically, this fluid will have an average molecular weight of 70-210 atomic mass units. Alternatively, the heating fluid may be other hydrocarbon fluids, or non-hydrocarbons, such as saturated steam preferably at 1,200 to 3,000 psia. However, steam may be expected to have corrosion and inorganic scaling issues and heavier hydrocarbon fluids tend to be less thermally stable. Furthermore, a fluid such as naphtha is likely to continually cleanse any fouling of the proppant (see below), which in time could lead to reduced permeability. The heat is conductively transferred into the oil shale (using oil shale for illustrative purposes), which is essentially impermeable to flow. The generated oil and gas is co-produced through the heating fractures. The permeability needed to allow product flow into the vertical fractures is created in the rock by the generated oil and gas and by the thermal stresses. Full maturation of a 25 m zone may be expected to occur in ~15 years. The relatively low temperatures of the process limits the generated oil from cracking into gas and limits CO₂ production from carbonates in the oil shale. Primary target resources are deep oil shales (>~1000 ft) so to allow pressures necessary for high volumetric heat capacity of the injected heating fluid. Such depths may also prevent groundwater contamination by lying below fresh water aquifers.

[0017] Additionally the invention has several important features including:

1) It avoids high temperatures ($>400^{\circ}\text{C}$) which causes CO_2 generation via carbonate decomposition and plasticity of the rock leading to constriction of flow paths.

5 2) Flow and thermal diffusion are optimized via transport largely parallel to the natural bedding planes in oil shales. This is accomplished via the construction of vertical fractures as heating and flow pathways. Thermal diffusivities are up to 30% higher parallel to the bedding planes than across the bedding planes. As such, heat is transferred into the formation from a heated vertical fracture more
10 rapidly than from a horizontal fracture. Moreover, gas generation in heated zones leads to the formation of horizontal fractures which provides permeability pathways. These secondary fractures will provide good flow paths to the primary vertical fractures (via intersections), but would not if the primary fractures were also horizontal.

15 3) Deep formations (>1000 ft) are preferred. Depth is required to provide sufficient vertical-horizontal stress difference to allow the construction of closely spaced vertical fractures. Depth also provides sufficient pressure so that the injected heat-carrying fluids are dense at the required temperatures. Furthermore, depth reduces environmental concerns by placing the pyrolysis zone below aquifers.

20 [0018] The flow chart of Figure 1 shows the main steps in the present inventive method. In step 1, the deep-lying oil shale (or other hydrocarbon) deposit is fractured and propped. The propped fractures are created from either vertical or horizontal wells (Figure 2 shows fractures 21 created from vertical wells 22) using known fracture methods such as applying hydraulic pressure (see for example *Hydraulic*
25 *Fracturing: Reprint Series No. 28*, Society of Petroleum Engineers (1990)). The fractures are preferably parallel and spaced 10-60 m apart and more preferably 15-35 m apart. This will normally require a depth where the vertical stress is greater than the minimum horizontal stress by at least 100 psi so to permit creation of sets of parallel fractures of the indicated spacing without altering the orientation of

subsequent fractures. Typically this depth will be greater than 1000 ft. At least two, and preferably at least eight, parallel fractures are used so to minimize the fraction of injected heat ineffectively spent in the end areas below the required maturation temperature. The fractures are propped so to keep the flow path open after heating has begun, which will cause thermal expansion and increase the closure stresses. Propping the fractures is typically done by injecting size-sorted sand or engineered particles into the fracture along with the fracturing fluid. The fractures should have a permeability in the low-flow limit of at least 200 Darcy and preferably at least 500 Darcy. In some embodiments of the invention the fractures are constructed with higher permeability (for example, by varying the proppant used) at the inlet and/or outlet end to aid even distribution of the injected fluids. In some embodiments of the present invention, the wells used to create the fractures are also used for injection of the heating fluid and recovery of the injected fluid and the product.

[0019] The layout of the fractures associated with vertical wells are interlaced in some embodiments of the invention so to maximize heating efficiency. Moreover, the interlacing reduces induced stresses so to minimize permitted spacing between neighboring fractures while maintaining parallel orientations. Figure 3 shows a top view of such an arrangement of vertical fractures 31.

[0020] In step 2 of Figure 1, a heated fluid is injected into at least one vertical fracture, and is recovered usually from that same fracture, at a location sufficiently removed from the injection point to allow the desired heat transfer to the formation to occur. The fluid is typically heated by surface furnaces, and/or in a boiler. Injection and recovery occur through wells, which may be horizontal or vertical, and may be the same wells used to create the fractures. Certain wells will have been drilled in connection with step 1 to create the fractures. Depending upon the embodiment, other wells may have to be drilled into the fractures in connection with step 2. The heating fluid, which may be a dense vapor of a substance which is a liquid at ambient surface conditions, preferably has a volumetric thermal density of $>30000 \text{ kJ/m}^3$, and more preferably $>45000 \text{ kJ/m}^3$, as calculated by the difference between the mass enthalpy at the fracture inlet temperature and at 270°C and multiplying by the mass density at the

fracture inlet temperature. Pressurized naphtha is an example of such a preferred heating fluid. In some embodiments of the present invention, the heating fluid is a boiling-point cut fraction of the produced shale oil. Whenever a hydrocarbon heating fluid is used, the thermal pyrolysis degradation half-life should be determined at the fracture temperature to preferably be at least 10 days, and more preferably at least 40 days. A degradation or coking inhibitor may be added to the circulating heating fluid; for example, toluene, tetralin, 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline, or thiophene.

[0021] When heating fluids other than steam are used, project economics require recovery of as much as practical for reheating and recycling. In other embodiments, the formation may be heated for a while with one fluid then switched to another. For example, steam may be used during start-up to minimize the need to import naphtha before the formation has produced any hydrocarbons. Alternately, switching fluids may be beneficial for removing scaling or fouling that occurred in the wells or fracture.

[0022] A key to effective use of circulated heating fluids is to keep the flow paths relatively short (<~200 m, depending on fluid properties) since otherwise the fluid will cool below a practical pyrolysis temperature before returning. This would result in sections of each fracture being non-productive. Although use of small, short fractures with many connecting wells would be one solution to this problem, economics dictate the desirability of constructing large fractures and minimizing the number of wells. The following embodiments all consider designs which allow for large fractures while maintaining acceptably short flow paths of the heated fluids.

[0023] In some embodiments of the present invention, as shown in Figure 4, the vertical fracture flow path is achieved with a dual-completed vertical well 41 having an upper completion 42 where the heating fluid is injected into the formation from the outer annulus of the wellbore through perforations. The cooled fluid is recovered at a lower completion 43 where it is drawn back up to the surface through inner pipe 44. The vertical fracture may be created as the coalescence of two or more "penny" fractures 45 and 46. (The Prats patent describes use of a single fracture.) Such an

approach can simplify and speed the well completions by significantly reducing the number of perforations needed for the fracturing process. Figure 5A illustrates an embodiment in which the fractures 51 are located longitudinally along horizontal wells 52 and are intersected by other horizontal wells 53. Injection occurs through one set of wells and returns through the others. As shown, wells 53 would likely be used to inject the hot fluid into the fractures, and the wells 52 used for returning the cooled fluid to the surface for reheating. The wells 53 are arrayed in vertical pairs, one of each pair above the return well 52, the other below, thus tending to provide more uniform heating of the formation. Vertical well approaches require very tight spacing (~ 0.5 -1 acre), which may be unacceptable in environmentally sensitive areas or simply for economic reasons. Use of horizontal wells greatly reduces the surface piping and total well footprint area. This advantage over vertical wells can be seen in Figure 5A where the surface of the substantially square area depicted will have injection wells along one edge and return wells along an adjoining edge, but the interior of the square will be free of wells. Inlet and return heating lines are separated which removes the issue of cross-heat exchange of dual completions. In Figure 5A, the fractures would probably be generated using wells 52, with the fractures created largely parallel to the generating horizontal well. This approach provides robust flow even with *en echelon* fractures illustrated in a top view in Figure 5B (i.e., non-continuous fractures 54 due to the horizontal wells' 52 not being exactly aligned with the fracture direction) which can readily occur due to imperfect knowledge of the subsurface.

[0024] Figure 6 shows an embodiment in which vertical fractures 64 are generated substantially perpendicular to a horizontal well 61 used to create the fractures but not for injection or return. Horizontal well 62 is used to inject the heating fluid, which travels down the vertical fractures to be flowed back to the surface through horizontal well 63. The dimensions shown are representative of one embodiment among many. In this embodiment, the fractures might be spaced ~ 25 m apart (not all fractures shown). In an alternative embodiment (not shown), the wells can be drilled to intersect the fractures at substantially skew angles. (The orientation of the fracture

planes is determined by the stresses within the shale.) The advantage of this alternative embodiment is that the intersections of the wells with the fracture planes are highly eccentric ellipses instead of circles, which increase the flow area between the wells and fractures and thus enhance heat circulation.

5 [0025] Figure 7 illustrates an embodiment of the present invention in which two intersecting fractures 71 and 72 are extended and coalesced between two horizontal wells. Injection occurs through one of the wells and return is through the other. The coalescence of two fractures increases the probability that wells 73 and 74 will have the needed communication path, rather than fracturing from only one well and trying
10 to connect or to intersect the fracture with the other well.

[0026] Figure 8 illustrates an embodiment featuring a relatively long fracture 81 traversed by a single horizontal well 82 with two internal pipes (or an inner pipe and an outer annular region). The well has multiple completions (six shown), with each completion being made to one pipe or the other in an alternating sequence. One of
15 the pipes carries the hot fluid, and the other returns the cooled fluid. Barriers are placed in the well to isolate injection sections of the well from return sections of the well. An advantage to this configuration is that it utilizes a single, and potentially long, horizontal well while keeping the flow paths 83 for the hot fluid relatively short. Moreover, the configuration makes it unlikely that discontinuities in the fracture or
20 locations where the well is in poor communication with the fracture will interrupt all fluid circulation.

[0027] For the construction of wells intersecting fractures, the fractures are pressurized above the drilling mud pressure so to prevent mud from infiltrating into the fracture and harming its permeability. Pressurization of the fracture is possible
25 since the target formation is essentially impermeable to flow, unlike the conventional hydrocarbon reservoirs or naturally permeable oil shales.

[0028] The fluid entering the fracture is preferably between 260-370°C where the upper temperature is to limit the tendency of the formation to plastically deform at high temperatures and to control pyrolysis degradation of the heating fluid. The lower

limit is so the maturation occurs in a reasonable time. The wells may require insulation to allow the fluid to reach the fracture without excessive loss of heat.

[0029] In preferred embodiments of the invention, the flow is strongly non-Darcy throughout most of the fracture area (*i.e.* the v^2 -term of the Ergun equation contributes >25% of the pressure drop) which promotes more even distribution of flow in the fracture and suppresses channeling. This criterion implies choosing the circulating fluid composition and conditions to give high density and low viscosity and for the proppant particle size to be large. The Ergun equation is a well-known correlation for calculating pressure drop through a packed bed of particles:

$$dP / dL = \left[1.75(1 - \varepsilon) \rho v^2 / (\varepsilon^3 d) \right] + \left[150(1 - \varepsilon)^2 \mu v / (\varepsilon^3 d^2) \right]$$

where P is pressure, L is length, ε is porosity, ρ is fluid density, v is superficial flow velocity, μ is fluid viscosity, and d is particle diameter.

[0030] In preferred embodiments, the fluid pressure in the fracture is maintained for the majority of time at >50% of fracture opening pressure and more preferably >80% of fracture opening pressure in order to maximize fluid density and minimize the tendency of the formation to creep and reduce fracture flow capacity. This pressure maintenance may be done by setting the injection pressure.

[0031] In step 3 of Figure 1, the produced oil and gas is recovered commingled with the heating fluid. Although the shale is initially essentially impermeable, this will change and the permeability will increase as the formation temperature rises due to the heat transferred from the injected fluid. The permeability increase is caused by expansion of kerogen as it matures into oil and gas, eventually causing small fractures in the shale that allows the oil and gas to migrate under the applied pressure differential to the fluid return pipes. In step 4, the oil and gas is separated from the injection fluid, which is most conveniently done at the surface. In some embodiments of the present invention, after sufficient production is reached, a separator or distillate

fraction from the produced fluids may be used as makeup injection fluid. At a later time in what may be expected to be a ~15 year life, heat addition may be stopped which will allow thermal equilibrium to even out the temperature profile, although the oil shale may continue to mature and produce oil and gas.

5 [0032] For environmental reasons, a patchwork of reservoir sections may be left unmatured to serve as pillars to mitigate subsidence due to production.

[0033] The expectation that the above-described method will convert all kerogen in ~15 years is based on model calculations. Figure 9 shows the modeled kerogen conversion (to oil, gas, and coke) as a function of time for a typical oil shale zone
10 between two fractures 25 m apart held at 315°C. Assuming 30 gal/ton, the average production rate is ~56 BPD (barrels per day) for a 100 m x 100 m heated zone assuming 70% recovery. The estimated amount of circulated naphtha required for the heating is 2000 kg/m_{width}/day, which is 1470 BPD for a 100 m wide fracture.

[0034] Figure 10 shows the estimated warm-up of the fracture for the same
15 system. The inlet of the fracture heats up quickly but it takes several years for the far end to heat to above 250° C. This behavior is due to the circulating fluid losing heat as it flows through the fracture. Flat curve 101 shows the temperature along the fracture before the heated fluid is introduced. Curve 102 shows the temperature distribution after 0.3 yr. of heating; curve 103 after 0.9 yr.; curve 104 after 1.5 yr.;
20 curve 105 after 3 yr.; curve 106 after 9 yr.; and curve 107 after 15 yr.

[0035] The heating behaviors shown in Figures 9 and 10 were calculated via numerical simulation. In particular, thermal flow in the fracture is calculated and tracked, thus leading to a spatially non-uniform temperature of the fractures since the injected hot fluid cools as it loses heat to the formation. The maturation rate of the
25 kerogen is modeled as a first-order reaction with a rate constant of $7.34 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and an activation energy of 180 kJ/mole. For the case shown, the heating fluid is assumed to have a constant heat capacity of 3250 J/kg·°C and the formation has a thermal diffusivity of 0.035 m²/day.

[0036] The foregoing description is directed to particular embodiments of the present invention for the purpose of illustrating it. It will be apparent, however, to one skilled in the art, that many modifications and variations to the embodiments described herein are possible. For example, some of the drawings show a single fracture. This is done for simplicity of illustration. In preferred embodiments of the invention, at least eight parallel fractures are used for efficiency reasons. Similarly, some of the drawings show heated fluid injected at a higher point in the fracture and collected at a lower point, which is not a limitation of the present invention. Moreover, the flow may be periodically reversed to heat the formation more uniformly. All such modifications and variations are intended to be within the scope of the present invention, as defined in the appended claims.